

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Jeff S. EDER

Application No. 10/743,417

Group Art Unit: 3691

Filed: December 22, 2003

Examiner: Sigfried Chencinski

For: An automated method of and system for identifying measuring and enhancing categories of value for a value chain

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Mail Stop Amendments
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Pursuant to 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98, the references listed on the enclosed Form PTO - 1449 and/or Substitute Form PTO - 1449 ("Form 1449") are submitted for consideration by the Examiner in the examination of the above-identified patent application.

The full consideration of the references in their entirety by the Examiner is respectfully requested and encouraged. Also, it is respectfully requested that the references be entered into the record of the present application and that the Examiner place his or her initials in the appropriate area on the enclosed Form 1449, thereby indicating the Examiner's consideration of each of the references.

The submission of the references listed on the Form 1449 is for the purpose of providing a complete record and is not a concession that the references listed thereon are prior art to the invention claimed in the patent application. The right is expressly reserved to establish an invention date earlier than the above-identified filing date in order to remove any reference submitted herewith as prior art should it be deemed appropriate to do so.

Further, the submission of the references is not to be taken as a concession that any reference represents art that is relevant or analogous to the claimed invention. Accordingly, the

right to argue that any reference is not properly within the scope of prior art relevant to an examination of the claims in the above-identified application is also expressly reserved.

The Information Disclosure Statement is being filed:

- ☐ within any one of the following time periods: (a) within three months of the filing date of a national application other than a continued prosecution application under 37 CFR 1.53(d); (b) within three months of the date of entry of the national stage as set forth in 37 CFR 1,491 of an international application; (c) before the mailing date of a first Office Action on the merits; or (d) before the mailing of a first Office Action after the filing of a request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114.
- ☒ after (a), (b), (c) or (d) above, but before the mailing date of a final action under 37 CFR 1.113, a Notice of Allowance under 37 CFR 1.311, or an action that otherwise closes prosecution in the application, and includes one of:
 - ☒ the Statement under 37 CFR 1.97(e) (see "Statement under 37 CFR 1.97(e)" below)
 - or
 - ☐ the fee of \$180 set forth in 37 CFR 1, 1 7 (p) (see "Fees" below).
- ☐ after the mailing date of a final action under 37 CFR 1. 113 or a Notice of Allowance under 37 CFR 1.311, or an action that otherwise closes prosecution in the application, and on or before payment of the issue fee, and includes the Statement under 37 CFR 1.97(c) (see "Statement under 37 CFR 1.97(e)" below), and the fee of \$180 as set forth in 3 7 CFR 1. 1 7(p) (see "Fees" below).
- ☐ after the mailing date of a Notice of Allowance under 37 CFR 1.311, and on or before payment of the issue fee, and within thirty days of receiving each item of information contained in the Information Disclosure Statement, and includes the Statement under 37 CFR 1.704(d) (see "Statement under 37 CFR 1.704(d)" below), and the fee of \$180 as set forth in 37 CFR 1.1 7(p) (see "Fees" below). NOTE,. This is for original applications except applications for a design patent filed on or after May 29, 2000, wherein a paper containing only an Information Disclosure Statement in compliance with 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98 is being filed.

Copies of the References

- ☐ Copies of some of the references listed on the enclosed Form 1449 are enclosed herewith.
- ☒ This patent application was filed after June 30, 2003. Accordingly, copies of U.S. patents and patent applications that are listed on the accompanying Form 1449 are not enclosed herewith (see Official Gazette Notice of August 5, 2003). Copies of other references identified on the accompanying Form 1449 have previously been submitted with the parent application.
- ☐ Attached to each reference not in the English language is a concise explanation of the relevance pursuant to 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3). An English-language equivalent/patent, or an English-language abstract, or an English-language version of the search report or

action by a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application indicating the degree of relevance found by the foreign office is being submitted in lieu of a concise explanation of the relevance pursuant to 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3).

- ☐ A copy of the foreign search report is enclosed herewith.
- ☐ Many of the references listed on the enclosed Form 1449 were previously identified in the parent application(s) of the present application, and copies of the references were furnished at that time. Accordingly, additional copies of the references are not submitted herewith, so as not to burden the file with duplicate copies of references. The Examiner is respectfully requested to carefully review the references in accordance with the requirements set out in the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.98(d), the details of the parent application(s) relied upon for an earlier filing date under 35 USC 120 in which copies of the references were previously furnished are set out below:

U.S. APPLICATIONS		Status (<i>check one</i>)		
U.S. APPLICATIONS	U.S. Filing Date	PATENTED	PENDING	ABANDONED
1.				
2.				
3.				

Statement under 37 CFR 1.97(e)

- ☐ The undersigned hereby states that each item of information contained in the Information Disclosure Statement was first cited in any communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign patent application not more than three months prior to the filing of the Information Disclosure Statement.
- ☒ The undersigned hereby states that no item of information contained in the Information Disclosure Statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign patent application, and, to the knowledge of the undersigned after making reasonable inquiry, no item of information contained in the Information Disclosure Statement was known to any individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of the Information Disclosure Statement.

Statement under 37 CFR 1.704(d)

- ☐ The undersigned hereby states that each item of information contained in the Information Disclosure Statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart application and that this communication was not received by any individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) more than thirty days prior to the filing of the Information Disclosure Statement.

Fees

☒ No fee is owed by the assignee(s).

☐ The IDS Fee of \$180 under 37 CFR I. I 7(p) is enclosed herewith.

The attached documents show that the Assignee has completed a survey of the U.S.P.T.O. database to determine if the U.S.P.T.O. supports the various assertions made by the Examiner of the above referenced application. As summarized in the table below, the survey shows that the U.S.P.T.O. does not support any of the assertions made by the Examiner.

Assertion made by Examiner	Support from U.S.P.T.O.
Neural network development method of 09/761,670 is not concrete	None, U.S.P.T.O. issued patents for less concrete neural network development methods last week and there is no indication that the 3,600 patents with less concrete methods are being re-examined
Variable selection/model development method of 10/441,385, 10/743,417, 10/750,792, 10/821,504 & 11/278,419 is too subjective	None, there is no indication that patent 7,283,982 has been placed under re-examination
Risk for a portfolio of securities cannot be measured	None, there is no indication that the twenty one patents with claims related to risk for a security portfolio have been placed under re-examination
Keyword relevance cannot be measured	None, U.S.P.T.O. issued patents for keyword relevance two weeks ago and there is no indication that the 138 patents for keyword relevance are being re-examined

The Assignee respectfully requests consideration of the instant application with the information disclosure statement attached herewith.

Respectfully submitted,

Asset Trust, Inc.

/B.J. Bennett/

B.J. Bennett, President

Date: July 20, 2008